Considering intersections of race and gender in interventions that address US men’s health disparities
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SUMMARY

Although gender, racial and ethnic differences in health in the USA are well documented, it is less clear how race and gender intersect to produce large and consistently poor health outcomes for men of colour, particularly Black American men. This paper will illustrate how race and gender intersect at multiple levels to shape men’s health and health behaviours, and function as fundamental social determinants of health. The paper will conclude by discussing the need to attend to the role of male gender in pathways and processes underlying racial health disparities, and the challenges of developing health promotion interventions for Black American men.
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In the USA, current calls to promote health equity and to address health disparities highlight the need for research that examines the pathways through which health disparities are created and maintained.1 To date, much of the literature on health disparities, health equity and social determinants of health in the USA has focused on disentangling factors associated with race and ethnicity from those associated with social and economic status, class and position.2,3 Research on health disparities and social determinants of health highlights four key issues: factors that influence health over the life course, contexts that shape access to environmental resources, mechanisms that directly and indirectly influence health behaviours and health outcomes, and processes that systematically advantage some and disadvantage others.4–7

A key aspect of research on health disparities and social determinants of health is the identification of fundamental social factors that are antecedents to socio-economic class and position.8,9 Gender, particularly male gender, is a fundamental social determinant of men’s health which dramatically illustrates that factors other than socio-economic status affect health.10 Socio-economic status and position contribute heavily to racial and ethnic disparities, but because men are more economically and socially advantaged in most cultures, there is no theory to explain men’s social and economic power yet lower life expectancy compared with women.11

The ways in which class, gender and race relations intersect to shape women’s health outcomes has received some attention,12 yet we remain challenged to understand what these intersections might mean for men.13 Over at least the past 15 years, scholars have paid increasing attention to the potentially negative health impact of gendered expectations and normative gender roles on men’s health.14–16 Gender is a dynamic social structure that men and women continually convey and reconstruct in daily interactions.17 Whether a person is judged to look male or female by others evokes a constellation of gendered social expectations, responsibilities and obstacles.18
The gendered expectations that are imposed on members of each sex are shaped by race, ethnicity and other key social structures and identities. It is critical to examine how sex and gender intersect with other aspects of men’s identities and experiences to accurately capture the experience of indigenous men, poor men and men of colour around the world. Globally, these men account for much of the reported gender difference in mortality, but their health and health care are overlooked, not prioritized and not considered an issue of focus in many countries.

This paper will argue that it is critical to focus more research on men’s health disparities, meaning research that considers how the individual- or population-level health behaviours and health outcomes of men are determined by cultural, environmental and economic factors associated with their socially defined identities and group memberships. Approaching health disparities with focused attention on men’s health disparities highlighted gendered pathways that increase men’s risk of developing or dying from certain conditions and illnesses, and helps to identify positive aspects of masculinity by considering how men marshal social and cultural resources to mitigate racism, ethnic oppression and other forces that may adversely affect their health.

The authors are also interested in creating a research agenda on men’s health disparities that examines: (1) how masculinities are related to health; (2) how gender is constructed and embedded in social, economic and political contexts and institutions; and (3) how culture and subcultures influence how men develop their masculinities and how they respond to health issues. Masculinity has multiple forms and resides within individuals and their social and cultural contexts. While research has illustrated the importance of considering underlying social determinants of health, including socio-economic status and race-based residential segregation, this paper argues that public health needs more research on men’s health disparities, which explores how gender and notions of masculinity intersect with processes of racialization in shaping policies from local to global levels that affect health.

In the following text, the authors discuss key conceptual issues facing the study of masculinity, health disparities, social determinants of health and men’s health using the example of Black American men. While many countries across the globe have different conceptualizations and categorizations of race and ethnicity, it is important to consider the implications of these categories for health. In this paper, the terms ‘Black Americans’ and ‘Blacks’ are used interchangeably to characterize a racial group in the USA that includes considerable ethnic heterogeneity and includes African Americans, Caribbean Blacks, Blacks from Latin America and Blacks from Africa. Black Americans are compared with White Americans who, similarly, represent a broad racial group with considerable ethnic heterogeneity. Ethnic heterogeneity and ethnicity are critical concepts to consider in efforts to eliminate health disparities, because they help to capture the unique cultural and social characteristics of groups that often represent strengths and resources to mitigate stresses from the society in which ethnic groups are situated. Despite the social relevance of ethnicity in the USA and globally, the authors chose to focus on race as it is defined in the USA because it tends to represent the broad structural forces that most fundamentally shape historical and current economic and social opportunities that may map on to cultural factors, social practices and institutional policies that adversely affect the health of socially defined groups in other countries.

Black American men represent a useful model for examining key concepts, mechanisms and pathways through which social determinants affect health. As manhood is fundamentally racialized and class bound, it is useful to examine how race, ethnicity and gender intersect through a population that has faced considerable structural barriers as a result of the intersection of these factors. Examining the health outcomes of Black American men helps to illustrate the importance of a group’s location in a socially constructed hierarchy, in this case a racial hierarchy, which shapes life chances, access to opportunities and resources, and health outcomes.

The concept of embodiment is useful in efforts to describe how daily unconscious practices, health behaviours and health outcomes are shaped by gender-specific, socially located contexts. The stigma and cultural scripts and schemas associated with race have led to Black Americans being deemed three-fifths of a person in the US Constitution, the legalization of chattel slavery in the USA, and institutionalization of race-based segregation as social, economic and housing policies for much of the 20th Century. Each of these decisions was not only adjudicated by the federal justice system, but had the support of major economic, cultural and scientific institutions. Thus, studying Black men provides a useful lens through which to look beyond explicit health and social policies that differentially benefit or harm the population, to how global, national, state and local forces give meaning to socially constructed hierarchies that influence health.

Discussion of men’s health disparities will begin with a selected review of gender differences in health among Black American, middle-aged adults, followed by discussion of the unique challenges that arise in efforts to intervene to improve the eating behaviour and physical activity of Black American men. This paper will focus on health behaviours that are critical for health promotion and health maintenance for men regardless of race, ethnicity or country of residence. Eating and physical activity are closely tied to fundamental structural barriers to health and the leading causes of death for men in general and Black American men in particular. The paper will conclude by offering recommendations for addressing men’s racial and ethnic health disparities more broadly.

**Gender and the health of Black American adults**

While researchers and policy makers call for greater focus on social determinants of racial and ethnic disparities in health, there is a critical need to recognize how specific groups of men may not benefit equally from efforts to address racial or ethnic disparities. Black American men and women face very different manifestations of racial barriers and patterns of the relationship between social determinants and health. For example, the inability to close the Black–White gap in mortality over the last four decades masks an important gendered story. The mortality gap between Black and White women,
particularly those in their childbearing years, has closed, while the gap between Black and White men, particularly those ages 45–64 has widened. Black men have not experienced the same improvements in wealth as White men or Black women. The socio-economic status of Black American men is positively related to stress, even though socio-economic status is inversely related to stress for Black American women.43,44

In the USA, masculinity is often signified by beliefs and behaviours that are practiced in social interactions; thus, masculinity varies between cultures, races, ethnicities and individuals.57 Part of the way in which gender functions, however, is to hide contradictions about how men ought to behave and what type of power and authority men should have, while appearing as though gender is a static fact of nature; gender dynamics often seem to consist of unchanging, transhistorical, naturally occurring traits.58 Gendered processes are based on arbitrary historical and ideological processes linking male genital anatomy, male identity and social arrangements of authority and power, despite the fact that these factors have no intrinsic relationship.

Gender intersects with structural racism in complex and dynamic ways to influence the cultural schemas that shape Black American men’s lives and health outcomes. For Black American men, race, ethnicity, identity and ideals of masculinity are often defined by their ethnic identity and shaped by experiences with White American society. For Black American males, notions of masculinity are viewed as symbols of economic prosperity and social standing.59 Notions of masculinity assigned and defined by mainstream White American society to Black American males are often the cause of internal conflict and painful attempts by these men to acknowledge, fulfill or reject such roles and identity.59

US cultural norms and the social and cultural beliefs of Black Americans must be considered in a gendered context.60 Black American men often embody their efforts to fulfil socially and culturally valued roles in the context of economic, educational and social barriers and challenges. Despite the changes and flexibility in gender roles over time, the family provider role continues to be a salient aspect of Black American men’s identity.50 Particularly during their middle-adult years, Black American men’s evaluations of how well they feel they are fulfilling the roles of provider, husband, father, employee and community member are fundamental aspects of their identities.60,61 From approximately 34–60 years of age,62,63 fulfilling the provider role is the major focus.37,60,64

Black American men, when compared with White men, are less likely to be able to fulfil this important provider role. Sociologist David Williams highlights how middle class status is often recent, tenuous and marginal for many Black Americans with the following three examples:35 college-educated Black Americans are four times more likely to experience unemployment than White Americans;26 middle class Black Americans have markedly lower levels of wealth compared with middle class White Americans;39 and middle class Black Americans are less likely to be able to translate similar levels of education and income into desirable housing and neighbourhood conditions than middle class White Americans.40 These economic frustrations may help to explain why socio-economic status was inversely related to suicide for White American men but positively related to suicide for Black American men.35,41,42 Additionally, poverty and education have a significant impact on suicide rates among Black American males, with poverty reducing the risk of suicide, and educational attainment increasing the risk of suicide.43

Issacs et al. (2008) found that among people in their 30s, both Black American women and White American women had substantially higher incomes than women in their mother’s generation; on the other hand, men in their 30s, particularly Black American men, actually fared worse. The failure of a typical man in his 30s to earn as much as men in his father’s generation may be particularly problematic if he is trying to fulfil a provider role for a family. This gendered economic pattern may contribute to the decline in marriage rates, high rates of divorce and high rates of single mothers among Black Americans.44 Structural interventions and policies aimed at improving the health of Black Americans should uniquely consider the particularly deleterious effects of the unique economic challenges of Black American men.

Obesity, eating behaviour and physical activity among Black American men

On average, Black American men die over 7 years earlier than women of all races, and Black men die younger than all other groups of men except Native American men.30,45,46 Black American men are also more likely than other segments of the population to have undiagnosed or poorly managed chronic conditions.47–49 Over the last decade, obesity has increased significantly among men, particularly Black American men.50,51 While the prevalence of obesity is higher in Black American women than men, 40% of Black American men aged 40–59 years and 38% of Black American men aged ≥60 years are obese.50,51 The rate of grade 3 obesity (i.e. body mass index ≥40) is 50% higher for Black American men aged 40–59 years compared with their White male counterparts, and the rate for Black American men aged ≥60 years is twice that of their White male counterparts.50 Approximately half of Black American men in the USA report no leisure-time physical activity.52 Black American men aged 35–50 years eat, on average, only 3.5 of the nine recommended daily servings of fruits and vegetables, fewer than any other racial or ethnic group of men or women.53 Approximately one-quarter (24.4%) of Black American men are obese, which is second only to the obesity rate for American Indian/Alaska Native men.52 These patterns of disease suggest that gender-related factors play an important role in Black American men’s eating behaviour and physical activity.

Challenges in developing interventions for Black American men

When developing an intervention for Black American men, the authors sought strategies to refine understanding of where and how to intervene to improve African American men’s eating behaviour and physical activity. African American men are a subgroup of Black Americans who are the first or second generation of their family born in the USA. The literature was searched for guidance on cultural sensitivity.
Cultural sensitivity is the extent to which health promotion materials and programmes incorporate ethnic/cultural characteristics, experiences, norms, values, behaviour patterns, consumer preferences and beliefs of a focus population, as well as relevant historical, environmental and social forces in design, delivery and evaluation.\textsuperscript{44} While the very notion of race-based cultural sensitivity training has come under constructive criticism from an array of scholars,\textsuperscript{55} it remains a useful heuristic for addressing matters of cultural difference in relation to discourses of health.

One useful strategy that has been used to develop culturally sensitive interventions is 'ethnic mapping'.\textsuperscript{54,56} This process has been used to provide valuable information for tailoring interventions by asking focus groups of the population of interest to classify aspects of the target behaviour along a continuum. Most often, because cultural sensitivity has been operationalized in relation to race or ethnicity, participants have been asked to rate foods or types of physical activity on a continuum of race/ethnicity (e.g. mostly a Black thing; equally a Black and White thing; mostly a White thing). This question presumes that the primary barriers to behaviour change are associated with knowledge and attitudes about food or physical activity. Two more basic questions, however, have rarely been asked: what aspects of identity (race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation) should be the primary focus of an intervention? How do we develop culturally sensitive messages for populations where two, or more, aspects of their identity are particularly salient to the behaviours of interest? The process of ethnic mapping provides a useful example of the difficulty faced when applying these strategies to African American men. As the authors did not develop an intervention that disentangles race and ethnicity among Black Americans, this paper will focus on the lessons learnt from working with African American men.

Ethnic mapping implicitly assumes that race and ethnicity are synonymous, and that race/ethnicity is the most salient aspect of identity that is relevant to behaviour change. The epidemiological data, however, suggest that male gender is an equally important aspect of identity that may influence health behaviour. Based on this premise, how do we adapt the mapping process for use with African American men? Do we first ask men to rate items or activities along an ethnic continuum, and then ask them to do the same along a gender continuum (e.g. mostly a male thing; equally a male and female thing; mostly a female thing)? Do we challenge men further by inviting them to rate items in a more complex, \textsuperscript{2}X2 matrix, may be crossing ethnicity and gender (e.g. mostly an African American male thing; mostly a White female thing; equally an African American male or an African American female thing)? The authors found that neither solution was satisfactory, and needed to rethink the fundamental relationship between African American men's identity and their health behaviour by considering how their ethnic and gender identities intersect.

Beyond cultural sensitivity: the importance of an intersectional approach to interventions

Critical masculinity scholars have emphasized the importance of locating men's health in the context of class-based, racialized masculinities.\textsuperscript{13,19,65,66} Ethnicity, economic status, educational attainment and social context are all important factors that influence the type of masculinity that men construct, with implications for the differential health risk among different types of men.\textsuperscript{20} Men construct a variety of masculinities, with men from diverse cultural backgrounds also differing in their masculinity ideology.\textsuperscript{67} Relational perspectives offer different ways of being a man, rather than limiting particular forms of masculinity or hegemonic masculinity.\textsuperscript{68}

Intersectional approaches suggest that it is critical to consider how individual agency and choice, contextual and environmental influences, and physiological and biological factors combine to influence health.\textsuperscript{12} In addition, an intersectional approach offers a conceptual framework through which researchers can simultaneously consider the relationship between key structural factors and aspects of identity that affect health and health behaviour.\textsuperscript{12,69–73} More fundamentally, intersectional approaches seek to help researchers become more deliberate, thoughtful and explicit about why they choose particular variables, characteristics, identities and intersections for inclusion in studies.\textsuperscript{73} When decisions about these study questions are not carefully considered, intersectional invisibility\textsuperscript{75} can occur, rendering some identities invisible.\textsuperscript{74} Ironically, in the study of racial and ethnic disparities in obesity, male gender has often been rendered invisible. Despite the need to reduce obesity in men, for example, the majority of studies on the treatment and prevention of obesity include samples that were predominantly female,\textsuperscript{51} and studies that have been considered representative of and applicable to all Black Americans regardless of sex include less than 30\% men; some of the studies include samples of men as small as 10\%.\textsuperscript{76}

Conclusions

The goal of this paper was to highlight the importance of considering male gender in research on health disparities, social determinants of health and health equity. In research on men's health, there is a need to examine three key factors associated with male gender: how masculinities are related to health\textsuperscript{80}; how gender is constructed and embedded in social, economic and political contexts and institutions\textsuperscript{19}; and how culture and subcultures influence how men develop their masculinities and how they respond to health issues.\textsuperscript{77} The health needs of Black American men and other groups of men need to be explored in the contexts of their specific life circumstances and vulnerabilities, and these contexts have racial, ethnic, social, economic, gender and other components. Studying men of colour provides a useful way to examine key social, economic and cultural factors that shape men's health.\textsuperscript{13}

The fact that Black American men in the USA are more likely to live in poverty, work in low-paying and dangerous occupations, reside in closer proximity to polluted environments, be exposed to toxic substances, experience threats and realities of crime, and live with cumulative worries about meeting basic needs highlights the importance of considering both gendered and non-gendered aspects of their environments, identities and experiences.\textsuperscript{78} Understanding the poor
status of men’s health and premature death includes looking at multiple social determinants of health that intersect with
gender, including poverty, poor educational opportunities, underemployment and unemployment, incarceration, and social and racial discrimination. All of these factors challenge and influence the capacity of poor men and men of colour to achieve and maintain good health.21

Public health professionals must address gender as a fundamental social determinant of racial and ethnic disparities in health, and intentionally and carefully consider gender in public health interventions. While we have become more sophisticated and precise in our ability to examine some social determinants of health, including socio-economic status and race-based residential segregation,24–28 more attention needs to be paid to the role that male gender plays in processes of racialization in shaping regional, neighbourhood and health policies. Considering these factors will help researchers become more conscious of why they are including demographic, environmental and structural factors in their studies, and the mechanisms and pathways that underlie health disparities. Health-related policies occur in the context of race- and gender-conscious ideologies that, in turn, shape the beliefs and values of policy makers, researchers and practitioners. Considering gender in behavioural, community and policy interventions will further the goal of men’s health policy, which is not to reduce the health gap between men and women but to ensure that both have equal opportunities to maximize their health chances.15,79
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